

TREVOR J. HATFIELD, ESQ.  
Nevada Bar No. 7373  
**HATFIELD & ASSOCIATES, LTD.**  
703 South Eighth Street  
Las Vegas, Nevada 89101  
(702) 388-4469 Tel.  
(702) 386-9825 Fax  
[thatfield@hatfieldlawassociates.com](mailto:thatfield@hatfieldlawassociates.com)

*Attorney for Plaintiff*

**UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT**  
**DISTRICT OF NEVADA**

VERONICA SANDERS-LEE, an individual;

Plaintiff,

vs.

COUNTY of CLARK, a Political Subdivision of the  
State of Nevada;

Defendant.

CASE NO:

**COMPLAINT**

**(Jury Trial Demanded)**

COMES NOW, Plaintiff VERONICA SANDERS-LEE (hereinafter, "Plaintiff"), by and through her counsel, Trevor J. Hatfield, Esq., of the law firm of Hatfield & Associates, Ltd., and alleges upon information and belief against the above-captioned Defendant as follows:

**PARTIES**

1. At all times relevant hereto, Plaintiff, residing in Las Vegas, Clark County, Nevada, was and is an individual residing in the State of Nevada and was employed by Defendant, a political subdivision of the State of Nevada.

2. At all times relevant hereto, Defendant is an "employer" as defined by federal common law. Plaintiff was an employee of Defendant within the meaning of Title VII of the Civil Rights Act of 1964, as amended, 42 U.S.C. Sections 2000e, et seq., and applicable case law.

3. Defendant regularly employs fifteen or more persons.

4. All acts which form the basis of this complaint occurred during Plaintiff's employment with Defendant.

## **JURISDICTION AND VENUE**

5. The Defendant is now and was at all times mentioned herein an “employer” of the Plaintiff within the definition of 42 U.S.C. § 12101, Title I and V of the American’s with Disabilities Act of 1990, and amendments thereto, (ADAA) and 42 U.S.C. Section(s) 1201 *et seq.* and laws of the State of Nevada.

6. The jurisdiction of this Court is predicated upon 28 U.S.C. Section 1331 and 1343, to redress the unlawful deprivation of Plaintiff's rights secured, guaranteed and protected by federal law. This Court may also exercise pendant jurisdiction over Plaintiff's state law claims arising under the common law and statutes of the State of Nevada, and which arise from a common nucleus of operative fact pursuant to 28 U.S.C. Section 1367.

7. Venue is proper in the United States District Court for the District of Nevada pursuant to 28 U.S.C. Section 1391(b), wherein Plaintiff resides, or resided, and Defendant resides or resided, and/or regularly conducts business and where all the wrongful conduct occurred.

### **ADMINISTRATIVE PREREQUISITES**

8. Plaintiff has complied with all the administrative prerequisites to action under Section 706 of Title VII of the Civil Rights Act of 1964, as amended, 42 U.S.C. Sections 2000e-5 as follows:

9. Plaintiff was terminated from her employment on or about December 18, 2018, and Plaintiff thereafter timely filed a formal charge of discrimination with the Nevada Equal Rights Commission [hereinafter “NERC”]. NERC has a file sharing agreement with the Equal Employment Opportunity Commission [hereinafter “EEOC”].

///

1           10. Plaintiff promptly and diligently accommodated all NERC and EEOC requests for  
2 information and fully cooperated in the investigation of his charge.

3           11. Plaintiff has exhausted all available administrative remedies in accord with the  
4 aforementioned statutes prior to instituting this civil action, and Plaintiff has timely filed this action.

5           12. Plaintiff demands a jury trial of this case pursuant to Local Rule 38-1 and 28 U.S.C.  
6 Section 1411.  
7

8                                   **FACTUAL ALLEGATIONS**

9           13. Plaintiff is a qualified individual with a disability within the meaning of the ADAA.

10           14. Plaintiff was hired by Defendant on September 10, 2018 as a Senior Family Services  
11 Specialist, a social worker position. She was provided training called Academy for four months'  
12 time in preparation for working in the Department of Family Services. During her training, Plaintiff  
13 was informed that she was to take a field trip where walking was required. A bus was provided for  
14 part of the trip and Plaintiff was able to walk the rest of the way with a cane, but she had difficulty  
15 walking the long distances. Plaintiff then informed her supervisor that she has a medical condition,  
16 a disability, that prevents Plaintiff from walking long distances on December 7, 2018, and requested  
17 a reasonable accommodation: that she not be required to walk long distances. She provided a letter  
18 from her doctor advising Defendant of her disability.  
19

20           15. Defendant met with Plaintiff on December 12, 2018. Defendant informed Plaintiff  
21 she was disabled, however Defendant had unilaterally determined that she cannot perform the  
22 functions of her job, required to be able to walk long distances and if she cannot then no  
23 accommodation would be provided to her on December 17, 2018. Plaintiff had been cross-trained in  
24 three different positions, one of which did not require any walking. However, Defendant refused to  
25 accommodate Plaintiff. Plaintiff was never late to any Academy classes or had any problems  
26 performing her job duties.  
27  
28



1 mental anguish, humiliation, embarrassment, indignity, and other intangible injuries in an amount to  
2 be proven at trial.

3 25. Plaintiff should be awarded punitive damages as well because of Defendant's  
4 extreme and outrageous conduct.

5 26. As a further result of Defendants' above-stated actions, it has been necessary for  
6 Plaintiff to obtain the services of the law offices of Hatfield & Associates, Ltd., to prosecute this  
7 action, and Plaintiff is entitled to reimbursement for those attorney's fees and costs which have been  
8 reasonably incurred.  
9

## 10 **SECOND CAUSE OF ACTION**

### 11 **Retaliation Under the ADAA**

12 27. Plaintiff incorporates by reference the allegations set forth in the preceding  
13 paragraphs of the Complaint as though set forth at length herein.  
14

15 28. Defendant refused to interact with Plaintiff and refused to provide Plaintiff with  
16 reasonable accommodation for her disability, although Plaintiff was capable of performing her  
17 duties if she had been accommodated.

18 29. Defendant continually, intentionally, and in a discriminatory manner refused to  
19 accommodate Plaintiff in her position because of her disability.  
20

21 30. Plaintiff was terminated in retaliation for attempting to engage in the interactive  
22 process with Defendant that is required by federal and state law for persons seeking a disability in  
23 the workplace and for disability discrimination.

24 31. Defendant's termination of Plaintiff was not based upon any medical justification or  
25 other reasonable reason and was discriminatory as to Plaintiff. Defendants terminated Plaintiff  
26 without just cause and because of her disability.  
27

28 ///



1           39. As a direct and proximate result of Defendant's violation of Nevada law, Plaintiff  
2 suffered lost wages, lost benefits, lost seniority, lost future earnings, lost employment opportunities,  
3 humiliation, embarrassment, and loss of self-esteem in an amount to be determined at trial.  
4 Therefore, Plaintiff seeks all legal and equitable remedies available at law.

5           40. Plaintiff should be awarded punitive damages as well because of Defendant's  
6 extreme and outrageous conduct.

7           41. As a further result of Defendant's above-stated actions, it has been necessary for  
8 Plaintiffs to obtain the services of the law offices of Hatfield & Associates, Ltd., to prosecute this  
9 action, and Plaintiffs are entitled to reimbursement for those attorney's fees and costs which have  
10 been reasonably incurred.

11  
12                                   **REQUEST AND PRAYER FOR RELIEF**

13  
14           WHEREFORE, Plaintiff prays for judgment and damages against Defendant as follows:

- 15           1. Enter an injunction ordering Defendant to make Plaintiff whole with full back pay,  
16 benefits and reinstatement to a position Plaintiff would have obtained in the absence of  
17 discrimination or, in the alternative, front pay;
- 18           2. An award to Plaintiff for compensatory damages in amount to be shown at trial for past  
19 and future economic and non-economic losses within this Court's jurisdiction subject to  
20 proof;
- 21           3. An award to Plaintiff for general damages within this Court's jurisdiction subject to  
22 proof;
- 23           4. An award to Plaintiff for exemplary and/or punitive damages.
- 24           5. An award to Plaintiff for reasonable attorney's fees and costs, including but not limited to  
25 expert witness fees, and as provided under state law;
- 26           6. An award to Plaintiff of interest on any awards at the highest rate allowed by law; and  
27  
28

Dated this 5<sup>th</sup> day of January 2020.

By: /s/ *Trevor J. Hatfield*

Trevor J. Hatfield, Esq. (SBN 7373)  
703 South Eighth Street  
Las Vegas, Nevada 89101  
(702) 388-4469 Tel.

*Attorney for Plaintiff*